

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE |                 | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/044,228 01/11/2002       |                 | John J. Rowe         | · 31494             | 8981             |  |
| 75                          | 7590 03/21/2006 |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |  |
| HOVEY WILLIAMS LLP          |                 |                      | CHOI, PETER H       |                  |  |
| Suite 400                   |                 |                      |                     |                  |  |
| 2405 Grand                  |                 |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
| Kansas City, MO 64108       |                 |                      | 3623                |                  |  |

DATE MAILED: 03/21/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  |  |   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   |        |  |  |  |
|--|--|---|--|--|--------|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary  |  | 10/044,228  | ROWE, JOHN J.  | ROWE, JOHN J.  |        |  |  |  |
|  |  | Ī   | Examiner   | Art Unit   |        |  |  |  |
|  |  |   | Peter Choi   | 3623   |        |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply           |  |   |  |  |        |  |  |  |
| WHIC<br>- Exter<br>after<br>- If NC<br>- Failu<br>Any  | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MINISTRICT IN THE MINISTRICT | AILING DA of 37 CFR 1.136 nunication. atutory period will will, by statute, c | TE OF THIS COMMUN  (a). In no event, however, may  apply and will expire SIX (6) Mause the application to become | NICATION. a reply be timely filed  ONTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |        |  |  |  |
| Status   |  |   |  |  |        |  |  |  |
| 1)⊠  | Responsive to communication(s) file  | ed on 1/11/0  | 2.   |  |        |  |  |  |
|  | ·  |   | ection is non-final.   |  |        |  |  |  |
| 3)   | , ————————————————————————————————————   |   |  |  |        |  |  |  |
|  | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  |   |  |  |        |  |  |  |
| Dispositi  | on of Claims   |   |  |  |        |  |  |  |
| 4)⊠  | Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the a  | pplication.   |  |  |        |  |  |  |
| · ·  | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.   |   |  |  |        |  |  |  |
| 5)[  | 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  |   |  |  |        |  |  |  |
| 6)□  | 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.   |   |  |  |        |  |  |  |
| 7)   | 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  |   |  |  |        |  |  |  |
| 8)⊠  | Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> are subject to restriction  | on and/or ele   | ection requirement.  |  |        |  |  |  |
| Applicati  | on Papers  |   |  |  |        |  |  |  |
| 9)[  | The specification is objected to by the  | e Examiner.   |  |  |        |  |  |  |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.                                     |  |   |  |  |        |  |  |  |
|  | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  |   |  |  |        |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).     |  |   |  |  |        |  |  |  |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.                 |  |   |  |  |        |  |  |  |
| Priority ι   | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119  |   |  |  |        |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: |  |   |  |  |        |  |  |  |
| ,.   | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  |   |  |  |        |  |  |  |
|  | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No   |   |  |  |        |  |  |  |
|  | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage  |   |  |  |        |  |  |  |
|  | application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  |   |  |  |        |  |  |  |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.                                   |  |   |  |  |        |  |  |  |
|  |  |   |  |  |        |  |  |  |
| Attachmen  | t(s)   |   |  |  |        |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  |  |   |  |  |        |  |  |  |
|  | e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P<br>nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or  |   |  | o(s)/Mail Date<br>f Informal Patent Application (PT  | O-152) |  |  |  |
|  | r No(s)/Mail Date  | . 10.00/00)   | 6) Other:  |  |        |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/044,228 Page 2

Art Unit: 3623

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 1-14, drawn to monitoring employee transactions with a cash register for evaluation and theft-detection purposes, classified in class 705, subclass 11.
  - II. Claims 15-20, drawn to monitoring the contents of point of sale terminals and processing payments and financial transactions, classified in class 705, subclass 16.
- 2. Inventions I and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination II has separate utility such as monitoring the contents of a cash register and automatically dispensing the proper denominations as change for a transaction, whereas subcombination I is directed towards monitoring employee transactions with a cash register to evaluate the efficiency (how long each transaction takes) and productivity (how many transactions are made) of said employee over time, and compared to their peers. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Application/Control Number: 10/044,228 Page 3

Art Unit: 3623

3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

- 4. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. A telephone call was made to Scott Brown on March 16, 2006 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Art Unit: 3623

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter Choi whose telephone number is (571) 272 6971. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tariq Hafiz can be reached on (571) 272-6729. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3623

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

March 16, 2006

Peter Choi Examiner Art Unit 3623

> SUSANNA M. DIAZ PRIMARY EXAMINER

Au 3623